RESOURCE PACKET

Assessment of Developmental Delay



Developmental Delay Assessment Documentation

EA/School				DOB _ _ Date of	Report _	CA _ // _		init Oth		and child is age 7-0 owere considered '	
	Physical		Cogr	nitive	Comm	unication		cial/ tional	Adaptive	*Observation	*Family Report
Instrument Used			- J								
Date Administered											
Observations										Strengths Observed:	Strengths Observed:
Standard Score	SS SDs above/below norm		SS Ds below	SS SDs above/be norm		SS SDs above/belo	W		SS SDs e/below		
OR										Concerns:	Concerns:
Age Equivalent OR	Age Equivalent	Equiva	ige lent	Age Equivaler		Age Equivalent			Age valent		
Percentage Delay (if any)	%	9,	(0	%		%			%		
Oocumentation and a	ssessment of how De	evelopme	ntal Delay ac	dversely affec	cts educati	onal performar					
Meets eligibilityMeets eligibility	ecision (check one) standards for Deve standards for speci eligibility standards	elopment ial educa	ition catego	ory:					t Team Membertion)		

Developmental Delay - Outcomes

The Developmental Delay disability category has enhanced the identification process for children in early childhood age range by more accurately assessing specific areas of delay, creating a shift from less descriptive disability categories used prior to the Reauthorization of IDEA (June 1997).

Tennessee State Department of Education/Special Education Division views the adoption of the eligibility standards for Developmental Delay as an opportunity to demonstrate a commitment to defining programs, based upon early childhood development, which identify a child's developmental strengths and needs. The following <u>outcomes</u> are accomplished through the use of the Developmental Delay category.

- 1. An alternative is available for identifying three through nine-year-old children who need special education services. It is the IEP team's responsibility to continue to evaluate the appropriateness of eligibility as the child matures and approaches ten years of age.
- 2. The assessment process for Developmental Delay views the whole child within the context of the family and community, and with reference to typical developmental perspectives.
- 3. The Developmental Delay assessment process makes use of a multi-setting, multi-measure, and multi-informant model for eligibility determination.
- 4. Current disability categories are retained, and should be used if they are more descriptive of a young child's strengths and needs.
- 5. The use of the Developmental Delay category will enable young children the opportunity to receive services in situations where a specific diagnosis of existing disabilities is unavailable and delays are well-documented.
- 6. Developmental Delay provides an opportunity for provision of services and programs based on a child's strengths and needs, as measured by the five developmental areas, when the assessment of a more specific disability due to a child's young age cannot be considered statistically reliable or valid.
- 7. The five developmental domains assessed for Developmental Delay are:
 - Physical
 - Cognitive
 - Communication
 - Social/Emotional
 - Adaptive

THE DIVISION FOR EARLY CHILDHOOD

DEC POSITION STATEMENT ON DEVELOPMENTAL DELAY AS AN ELIGIBILITY CATEGORY

Approved: December 2000

DEC believes in the uniqueness of the young child and that services and interventions must be responsive to these unique needs and patterns of development. We believe that the disability categories used for older school-aged children are often inappropriate for young children birth through 8 years and that the category *Developmental Delay* can be a more appropriate designation of disability for special education eligibility. We believe that the assessment of disabilities in young children requires consideration of the whole child through the use of multiple sources, informants, settings, and measures.

As defined by DEC in 1991, Developmental Delay is:

a condition which represents a significant delay in the process of development. It does not refer to a condition in which a child is slightly or momentarily lagging in development. The presence of Developmental Delay is an indication that the process of development is significantly affected and that without special intervention, it is likely that educational performance at school age will be effected (DEC, 1991, p.1).

Parent and professional members of DEC believe that a Developmental Delay category of eligibility should be available for all children from birth through age 8. Though DEC recommends that the category of Developmental Delay be available for birth through age 8, we do not disagree with the provision in IDEA 1997 permitting its use for birth through age 9. We believe that the requirement to identify children by traditional disability categories in the early years might result in a premature categorization or miscategorization of children and consequently inappropriate services. Furthermore, the use of the Developmental Delay category allows for the identification of children with disabilities at younger ages who otherwise might go unserved because of the difficulties in applying traditional disability categories to young children.

The recommendation to use the Developmental Delay category birth through age 8 is supported by a number of considerations. First, the period of development typically characterized as early childhood is birth through age 8, a period of development considered to be unique by both the National Association for the Education of Young Children (NAEYC) and DEC. Young children's development is characterized by a broad range of behaviors across developmental domains and is better described by developmental metrics than by those with a more educational or academic focus. Second, the use of standardized and norm-referenced assessments for the identification of diagnostic categories for young children continues to be problematic resulting in unnecessary miscategorization. Psychometric integrity for instruments typically used to classify students for categorical services is only slightly greater in reliability for children ages 6, 7, and 8 than for their vounger peers. Third, for many children these early grades are a pivotal foundation for acculturation within the school community. Many children are transient or enter school at kindergarten or bevond. For these children, opportunities to understand and practice school behaviors are limited. Categorical classification during these years would be premature and potentially inaccurate. Fourth, informed team decisions utilizing professional judgments and family input should contribute to eligibility decisions.

Finally, the special education services children receive have historically been determined by their disability category. The use of the Developmental Delay category during the full span of the early childhood years facilitates a broader, whole child perspective for intervention. This perspective would provide an overriding focus on the child's needs and the identification of services to meet those needs in developmentally appropriate ways.

DEC is aware of the state and local discretion available regarding the use of Developmental Delay as an eligibility category for children ages 3 through 9. DEC strongly recommends that state and local agencies develop and implement the consistent use of a Developmental Delay category to insure appropriate services and smooth transitions for children with disabilities and their families during the early childhood period of development.

Permission to copy not required - distribution encouraged

NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF SCHOOL PSYCHOLOGISTS POSITION STATEMENT – EARLY CHILDHOOD ASSESSMENT

The National Association of School Psychologists believes that early identification of developmental and learning problems in preschool and primary grade children is essential because of children's broad and rapid development. Intervention services for these children's psychological and developmental difficulties are essential, beneficial, and cost-effective. Because the accurate and fair identification of the developmental needs of young children is critical to the design, implementation, and success of appropriate interventions school psychologists must play a key role.

Evidence from research and practice in early childhood assessment indicates that issues of technical adequacy are more difficult to address with young children who have short attention spans and go through periods of variable, rapid development. Therefore, standardized assessment procedures should be used with great caution in educational decision-making because such tools are inherently less accurate and less predictive when used with young children.

Multidisciplinary team assessments must include multiple sources of information, multiple approaches to assessment, and multiple settings in order to yield a comprehensive understanding of children's skills and needs. Therefore, assessments should center on the child in the family system and home environment, both substantial influences on the development of young children. Similarly, families' self-identified needs should drive the decision-making process concerning the identification of child and family services.

Because categorical identification of infants, toddlers, and young children is ineffective in meeting the special needs of young children, assessment of infants and young children requires specialized training and skills beyond those required for the assessment of older children. Longitudinal and functional assessment of behavior and development of infants, young children, and families in a variety of settings is needed to evaluate and document progress and response to intervention over time, and must guide early intervention strategies in meaningful ways.

Therefore, the National Association of School Psychologists will promote early childhood assessment practices that are:

- developmentally appropriate, ecological, comprehensive, skills-based, and family-focused;
- conducted by a multi-disciplinary team;
- linked to intervention strategies designed for young children, rather than to categorical classification

- based upon comprehensive, educational and/or behavioral concerns, rather than isolated deficits identified by individual assessments;
- nondiscriminatory in terms of gender, ethnicity, native language, family composition, and/or socio-economic status; and
- technically adequate and validated for the purpose(s) for which they are used, including the provision of norms for minority children and children with physical disabilities.

Role of the School Psychologist

NASP encourages the adoption of the philosophy of "parents as partners" and families as the focus to promote assessments and interventions for young children that include full integration of parents and families into the assessment and intervention components of early childhood services. This mandates methods of naturalistic and systematic observation and information gathering, including work sampling procedures and the involvement of the family, home environment, daycare/preschool, and the community ecology as part of the comprehensive assessment to gather information and input from parents and caregivers. School psychologists should provide leadership to the multidisciplinary team in ensuring that all information gathered through the assessment is clearly understood by parents so that they can make fully informed decisions about interventions for their children.

NASP also advocates for pre-service and in-service education for school psychologists and other professionals to address the following issues: 1) normal as well as atypical developmental patterns of infants and young children; 2) practices, procedures, and instrumentation appropriate for screening and assessment of young children, their families, and their environments; 3) the selection of assessment techniques and utilization of findings from such assessments for the design, implementation, and efficacy evaluation of interventions; 4) and standards for early childhood psychological and educational assessment, including legal, ethical, and professional issues – all in the context of noncategorical service delivery for young children and their families.

Summary

NASP supports early childhood assessment practices that allow for accurate and fair identification of the developmental needs of infants, preschoolers, and young children and facilitate interventions that involve parents and other caregivers. Sound early childhood assessment should involve a multi-disciplinary team, including school psychologists with specialized training in the assessment of the young child, and who view behavior and development from a longitudinal perspective.

Original version adopted by NASP Delegate Assembly, March 24, 1991 Revision adopted by NASP Delegate Assembly, July 24, 1999

Pre-Evaluation Planning Guidelines

Children who have been identified with disabilities are eligible for special education and related services beginning on their third birthday. When families suspect that their child may have a disability and needs special education and related services, they may make a referral to the school system at any point at which the child is age eligible. The school system will then initiate evaluation procedures.

Many children, ages birth through two (2) who have Developmental Delays are enrolled in the Tennessee Early Intervention Services (TEIS). For children in this program, TEIS should obtain parental permission to refer the child to the school system for special education and related services prior to the child's second birthday. TEIS should then make the initial referral to the school system by the child's second birthday. For children referred to TEIS after the second birthday, referral to the school system should occur immediately after completion of the intake process with parental permission for referral. TEIS and the school system should collaborate on the development of procedures for transmitting all appropriate records, with parental consent, from the TEIS to the school system. These records may include evaluations, medical records, the Individualized Family Service Plan (IFSP), or any other records needed to facilitate the eligibility process and program planning for the child and family transitioning from early childhood services provided through TEIS to special education services provided by the school system.

The parents, school system representatives, and TEIS representatives all participate in a transition planning conference arranged by TEIS, with the approval of the family, at least ninety (90) days and no more than six (6) months prior to the child's third birthday. The purpose of this conference is to:

- (1) review the child's program options for the period from the child's third birthday through the remainder of the school year, and
- (2) establish a transition plan.

At this meeting, school system personnel review procedures for identification of children with special education needs. This includes a full description of the Individualized Education Plan (IEP) process as well as meetings and IEP development, including the roles of the parents. Similarities and differences in the philosophies, services, terminology, and requirements of TEIS and the school system are clarified at this meeting, including comparison of the IFSP and IEP process.

Prior to initiating evaluation procedures, the school makes arrangements for parents to provide input to assessment personnel where referral concerns will be reviewed. The school will provide parents with a copy of the *Rights of Children with Disabilities and Parent Responsibility* and *Prior Written Notice*. The parents will be asked to give informed written permission for the evaluations described in the evaluation plan. If part of that plan includes gathering information from another person such as a child-

care provider or family member, parental permission must also be obtained. In order to provide a thorough and accurate evaluation of the child, additional evaluation procedures may be identified. When extended or unanticipated evaluation is indicated, the school system will ask parents to give written permission for other evaluations.

The school system must solicit parental input in order to identify an optimal time and setting for evaluation of the child. In selecting an environment for the evaluation, consideration should be given to a variety of settings; e.g., home, childcare, or community in addition to the school setting. Parental feedback should be used in determining if evaluation performance is typical of the child's behavior and abilities.

Developmental Delay Evaluation Guidelines

(AGES 3 THROUGH 6 – UNTIL 7TH BIRTHDAY)

Evaluations for children for Developmentally Delay include:

- 1. a history of the child's developmental, social, and medical history,
- 2. vision and hearing screening of the child,
- 1. observations in an environment natural to the child, which is completed by appropriately trained specialists familiar with child development,
- physical development assessment using standardized (norm-referenced or agereferenced), individually administered instruments in the area of total motor development (fine and gross motor combined),
- 5. cognitive/intellectual functioning administered by appropriate specialists using an individually administered assessment,
- 6. language skills assessment of receptive and expressive skills combined, using norm-referenced or age-referenced instruments administered by a speech/language specialist,
- 7. social/emotional development assessment using direct and indirect observation data compiled by an appropriate specialist, and
- 8. adaptive behavior skills assessment by an appropriately trained specialist through an appropriate standardized instrument using the child's principal caretaker and/or other familiar person (with parental consent) as an informant.

DEVELOPMENTAL DELAY EVALUATION GUIDELINES - CONTINUED ELIGIBILITY

(AGES 7 THROUGH 9 – UNTIL 10TH BIRTHDAY)

A child must be determined as eligible for special education in the category of Developmental Delay initially before the age of seven (7). When the child has been referred for a reevaluation (triennial or by request of an IEP Team member), <u>and</u> has had his/her seventh (7th) birthday on or before the date of evaluation, additional guidelines for evaluation include:

- cognitive/intellectual functioning assessment with an individual, standardized, multifactored instrument by a licensed school psychologist, licensed psychologist or a licensed psychological examiner, using appropriate procedures, and
- assessment of academic achievement using a standardized individual evaluation administered by a psychologist, diagnostician or teacher who has been trained to administer the achievement assessment.

Note: Academics cannot be used as a component of Developmental Delay, but must be assessed for school-aged children in order to consider the presence of another area of disability.

The IEP team may determine continued eligibility in the area of Developmental Delay after careful consideration of all required information. Other disability categories shall be used if they are more descriptive of a young child's strengths and needs.

Early Childhood CONFIDENTIAL PARENT QUESTIONNAIRE

To Be Completed by Parent or Parent Interview

Name:	Form comp	oleted by:		Date://
Date of birth:	Age:			
Parents/Legal Guardians (Che	eck all that apply	r.)		
With whom does this child live? ☐ Both parents ☐ Mother ☐ Other: ☐ Parents'/Legal Guardians' Nam	☐ Father	•	□ Stepfath	er
Address: Home phone: List names/ages/relationships of	Work phone of people at home	e:	Cell p	hone:
Are there any languages other to lf yes, what language(s)?	•			
Areas of Concern (Check all th	าat apply.)			
 □ Behavioral/emotional □ Immature language usage □ Slow motor development □ Speech difficult to understand 	☐ Difficulty und☐ Vision proble	derstanding langu ems	☐ De	alth/medical velopment inconsistent
Why are you requesting this eva	aluation?			
Did anyone suggest that you re				
Has a physician, psychologist, s ☐ Yes ☐ No	speecn patholog	ist or other diagno	stic specialist	evaluated your child?
Was a diagnosis determined?	☐ Yes ☐ No	Please explain:		
Preschool History (Check all t				
Preschool/daycare programs at Name:			Dates	
Name:				
List any special services that yo				
Type of service:		, <u>-</u>		, ,
Type of service:				
If your child has attended a pres				
his/her behavior, explain what v	vas tried and if y	ou think it worked		

Developmental History

Pregnancy and Birth	
Which pregnancy was this? ☐ 1 st ☐ 2 nd ☐	☐ 3 rd ☐ 4 th Other Was it normal? ☐ Yes ☐ No
Explain any complications:	
	ure? What was the length of labor?
Was the delivery: <i>Spontaneous?</i> □ Yes	□ No Induced? □ Yes □ No Caesarian? □ Yes□ No
Birth weight Baby's condition at	birth (jaundice, breathing problems, etc.):
Motor Development (List approximate ages)	
	Stood alone
Walked independently	Fed self with a spoon
Toilet trained Bladder	Bowel
Medical History	
-	problems (e.g., serious injury, high temperature or fever,
any twitching or convulsions, allergies, as	sthma, frequent ear infections, etc.).
List any medications taken on a regular b	asis.
	
Speech and Language (List approximate age	
	u could understand (other than <i>mama</i> or <i>dada</i>)
Used two-word sentences	
Spoke in complete senter	
Does your child communi	
Does your child communi	
ls your child's speech diff	
lo your child have diffi	
Does your child answer q	
•	accusing appropriatory.
Social Development	to play with abildren of big/ban and
what opportunities does your child have	to play with children of his/her age?
What play activities does your child enjoy	?
Does s/he play primarily alone? ☐ Y	es ☐ No With other children? ☐ Yes ☐ No
Does s/he enjoy "pretend play"? ☐ Y	es 🛘 No
Do you have concerns about your child's	behavior? ☐ Yes ☐ No If yes, please explain.
How do you discipline your child?	
	ppmental information on your child. Please return to If you have any questions, please feel free to
contact	at .

Developmental Delay Teacher Information

Child's Name: Te Date of Birth:/ Age	eacher Completing Form:
	:
Please detail concerns/strengths in the follow	ving areas (keep in mind age-appropriate skills in each area):
Physical (fine-motor and gross-motor ski	ills)
Cognitive (ability to think – with skills from	m concrete to abstract)
Communication (language skills – expre	essive and receptive)
Social/Emotional (ability to interact appr	opriately with peers and authority figures)
Adaptive (i.e. solf help independent livis	ng and population skills)
Adaptive (i.e., self-help, independent livin	ng, and socialization skills)
Observation to document delays:	
	be allotted for the observation. The observation should be environment natural for a child.
3323.34 #/ 4// (1
Teacher's Signature	/

Developmental Delay Preschool Skills Checklist

Child'	s Name		_ Birthdate		Ag	je			
Comp	oleted By		Relationship		Date _	/_	/_		_
	Y = YES N = N	S = SOME	ГІМЕЅ	U = UNSURE					
CON	IMUNICATION					Υ	N	S	U
1.	Smiles in response to presence of caregi	iver							
2.	Recognizes familiar persons other than c	caregive	er						
3.	Understands the meaning of "no"								
4.	Follows instructions such as "go get your	r shoes'	"						
5.	Listens to a story for at least five minutes	S							
6.	Uses words to communicate wants and n	needs							
7.	Says own first name								
8.	Says own last name								
SELI	F-HELP					Υ	N	S	U
9.	Feeds self with spoon								
10.	Feeds self with fork								
11.	Urinates in toilet or potty-chair								
12.	Is completely toilet trained								
13.	Washes and dries hands without assista	nce							
14.	Puts shoes on correct feet without assist	tance							
15.	Removes simple garment without assista	ance							
16.	Puts on coat without assistance								
SOC	IAL					Υ	N	S	U
17.	Shows interest in other children								
18.	Addresses at least two familiar people by	y name							
19.	Shares toys without being reminded								
20.	Interacts appropriately with other children	n							
21.	Participates in group play								
22.	Follows adult directions (obeys)								
23.	Changes activities easily								
MOT						Υ	N	S	U
24.	Crawls across floor on hands and knees	i							
25.	Walks as a primary means of getting aro	ound							
26.	Pedals a tricycle at least six feet								
27.	Open and closes scissors with one hand	t							
28.	Goes up and down stairs using alternating	ng feet							
COMN	IENTS:								

Developmental Milestones - DD Domains

The following is a description of each of the five domains required for an evaluation for Developmental Delay. Also included are a few of the typical developmental milestones¹ in each of the five domains for children between the ages of three to five. Familiarization with the developmental milestones, typically found in each domain, helps to enhance early identification of possible Developmental Delays. Caution should be taken, however, when considering "typical developmental milestones", as all children will develop differently in each domain.

PHYSICAL DEVELOPMENT

The ability to use small and large muscles effectively

- fine motor: the use of small muscle groups of the arms and hands to eat, drink, dress, and write. etc.
- gross motor: the use of large muscle groups of the neck, trunk, arms, and legs for ambulation, etc.

The determination of significant delay in the domain of physical development should be a combined or cluster score from the evaluation of both fine motor and gross motor skills.

Typical developmental milestones in the area of fine motor development include:

• 36-42 months

- o builds a 9-block tower
- o strings 1" beads
- stirs liquid with spoon
- o draws a circle

42-48 months

o cuts paper into two pieces

48-54 months

- o draws a 3-part person
- o colors within lines
- cuts along a line

54-60 months

- laces shoes
- o cuts along thick curved line

Typical developmental milestones in the area of gross motor development include:

36-42 months

o catches 6-8 inch ball with arms

- o throws a ball 6 feet
- o jumps down from a low object

ED – 4089 / Rev. 07.09 Department of Education

¹ The outline below provides a general summary of the developmental sequence of speech, language, and motor skills in normal children. Because children develop at different rates, avoid strictly applying the age approximations. The time intervals are provided only as a general guideline for age appropriateness. This information was compiled from a variety of sources, which included the American Speech-Language-Hearing Association (1983); Boone (1987); Gard, Gilman, and Gorman (1980); Hegde (1991); Kunz and Finkel (1987); Lane and Molynegux (1992); and Lenneberg (1969).

42-48 months

o walks up and down stairs, one foot per step, with no help

48-54 months

- catches a ball with hands
- gallops

54-60 months

- o skips
- throws ball with close accuracy

COGNITIVE DEVELOPMENT

The ability to comprehend, remember, and make sense out of experience, including:

- attending skills
- abstract thinking or reasoning
- capacity to acquire knowledge
- problem solving skills

Typical developmental milestones in the area of cognitive development include:

• 36-42 months

- o recalls familiar objects or events from past experience
- o rote counts from 1-10
- o same and different
- classifies objects

42-48 months

- matches objects and pictures
- o knows the concept of empty ("all done")

48-54 months

- o completes an open-ended sentence
- o completes a puzzle of 2 to 12 pieces

• 54-60 months

- o names shapes circle, triangle, square
- o knows difference between daytime/nighttime activities

COMMUNICATION DEVELOPMENT

The ability to use and comprehend language effectively – vocabulary, grammar, and speech sounds. Communication skills are found in hearing, symbolic play, social, motor, and cognitive skills, including:

- Preverbal Skills the use of nonverbal behaviors such as body movements, grimaces, and vocalizations that are unclear signals,
- Pragmatics functional communication that includes intentions and discourse,
- Receptive Language the comprehension of linguistic and non-linguistic communications,
- Expressive Language the expression of language, including phonology, syntax, and semantics.

The determination of significant delay in the domain of communication should be a combined or cluster score from the evaluation of both expressive and receptive language skills.

Typical developmental milestones in the area of communication include:

12 months

- o recognizes his or her name
- understands simple instructions
- o initiates familiar words, gestures, and sounds
- o uses "mama", "dada", and other common nouns

18 months

- o uses 10 to 20 words, including names
- o recognizes pictures of familiar persons and objects
- o combines two words, such as "all gone"
- o uses words to make wants known, such as more and up
- o points and gestures to call attention to an event and to show wants
- o follows simple commands
- o imitates simple actions
- o hums, may sing simple tunes
- o distinguishes print from non-print

24 months

- o understands simple questions and commands
- identifies body parts
- o carries on conversation with self and dolls
- o asks "what" and "where"
- has sentence length of two to three words
- o refers to self by name
- o names pictures
- o uses two-word negative phrases, such as "no want"
- o forms some plurals by adding "s"
- o has about a 300-word vocabulary
- o asks for food and drink
- stays with one activity for six to seven minutes
- o knows how to interact with books (right side up, page turning from left to right)

30 months

- has about a 450-word vocabulary
- o gives first name
- o uses past tense and plurals; combines some nouns and verbs
- o understands simple time concepts, such as "last night" or "tomorrow"
- o refers to self as "me" rather than name
- tries to get adult attention with "watch me"
- likes to hear same story repeated
- o uses "no" or "not" in speech
- o answers "where" questions
- o uses short sentences, such as "me do it"
- o holds up fingers to tell age
- o talks to other children and adults
- o plays with sounds of language

36 months

- matches primary colors
- o names one color
- knows night and day
- begins to understand prepositional phrases (i.e., "Please put the block under the chair.)
- o practices by talking to self
- o knows last name, sex, street name, and several nursery rhymes
- o tells a story or relays an idea
- o has sentence length of three to four words
- o has vocabulary of nearly 1,000 words
- o consistently uses m, n, ng, p, f, h, and w
- o draws circle and vertical line
- o sings songs
- o stays with one activity for eight to nine minutes
- asks and answers variety of questions
- o names actions, pictures, and tells stories
- sings songs

48 months

- o points to red, blue, yellow, and green
- o identifies crosses, triangles, circles, and squares
- o knows "next month", "next year", and "noon"
- o has sentence length of four to five words
- o asks "who" and "why"
- o begins to use complex sentences
- o correctly uses m, n, ng, p, f, h, w, y, k, b, d, and g
- stavs with activity for 11 to 12 minutes
- o plays with language (e.g., word substitutions)

60 months

- o defines objects by use and identifies the material from which each is made
- o knows address
- o identifies penny, nickel, and dime
- has sentence length of five to six words
- o has vocabulary of about 2,000 words
- o uses speech sounds correctly, with the possible exceptions being y, th, j, s/z, zh, and knows common opposites
- o understands "same" and "different"
- o counts ten objects
- o uses future, present, and past tenses
- o stays with one activity for 12 to 13 minutes
- questions for information
- o identifies left and right hand on self
- uses all types of sentences
- shows interest and appreciation for printed materials

SOCIAL-EMOTIONAL DEVELOPMENT

Social-emotional development is the ability to develop and maintain interpersonal relationships and to demonstrate age-appropriate social-emotional behaviors. Social-emotional development assessment should include the following areas:

Adult/Caregiver Interaction – includes positive styles of interaction and secure attachment relationships

- Peer Interaction Skills includes positive interactions and social relationships,
- Self-concept,
- Coping Skills,
- Social Competence includes the effective and appropriate use of social behaviors, and
- Functional Behavior.

Typical developmental milestones in the area of social-emotional development (socialization) include:

• 36-42 months

- o enjoys simple songs and games with others
- o greets without reminders
- o initiates activities with parents
- o can attend to short stories
- o enjoys simple songs and games with others
- o initiates activities with parents

42-48 months

- plays cooperatively
- o interacts with adults more appropriately

• 48-54 months

- o can attend to a story for 15 minutes
- beginning cooperative play
- o asks for assistance

• 54-60 months

- o developing relationships with peers
- o plays cooperatively with others
- o has conversations at mealtime
- o play is constructive

ADAPTIVE DEVELOPMENT

The ability to engage in age appropriate activities in daily life skills:

- Self-care,
- Community Self-sufficiency,
- · Personal/social Responsibility, and
- Social Adjustment.

Typical developmental milestones in the area of adaptive development for socialization include:

• 36-42 months

- o attends to a learning task or story in a small group
- o focuses his/her attention on one task while being aware of, but not distracted by, another activity
- o uses napkin, with reminders
- uses straw
- o puts on jacket, shirt, pants
- snaps and unsnaps
- o sleeps through the night without wetting the bed
- o indicates needs for toilet
- o toilets and attempts to wipe
- o opens bottle
- o uses fork in fist to feed

• 42-48 months

- demonstrates caution and avoids common dangers
- o eats well with fork and spoon
- o uses toilet independently when told
- o washes and dries hands
- unbuttons and buttons
- o unbuckles belt

48-54 months

- o puts on socks
- o dresses and undresses self except for laces and back buttons
- o puts shoes on correctly
- o zips
- laces shoes
- buckles belt
- o brushes teeth

• 54-60 months

- o washes and dries face
- wipes self independently
- threads belt
- spreads food with knife
- o uses fork, knife, and spoon competently
- o ties shoes
- o brushes and combs hair
- o blows nose

Behavior Interventions Documentation

(Techniques Used by Teacher/Caregiver)

Modeling	Changing Class Routine
Positive Reinforcement	Positive Notes Home
Provide Choices	Proximity Control
Post Classroom Rules	Loss of Privileges
Daily / Weekly Report	Limited Time-out
Parent Conference	Special Discipline Contract
Re-direct	Consultation with Appropriate Specialist
Use of Logical Consequences	
Comments or Additional Information:	
Participant(s):	

Percent Delay Determination and Reporting

Percent delay can be determined by using standard deviation scores:

- ⇒ 1.5 standard deviations or 25% delay
- ⇒ 2.0 standard deviations or 40% delay

The following formula can be used to calculate percent delay:

1 - Mental Age / Chronological Age X 100 = Percent (%) Delay

Percentile scores should be reported with standard scores or age equivalents <u>and</u> percent delay, in addition to descriptive developmental information. Percent delay alone is not useful for the development of an Individual Education Program (IEP). When reporting scores, <u>total</u> developmental areas or <u>total</u> domain scores are required for the determination of eligibility as Developmentally Delayed. Individual subtest scores may not be used as a determinant of delay in any of the five developmental domains assessed.

Preschool Assessment Selection

(Ages Three to Five)

Ultimately, the selection of "appropriate" cognitive, language, developmental, or academic readiness instruments in the three to five year-old age range is the responsibility of the psychologist, language therapist, or early childhood specialist. Personal training, experience, and instrument familiarity are all considered factors in such test selections. Beyond those instruments listed in the tables there are other appropriate standardized tests published, and more being published that would be applicable to the 3 – 5 year age range. When the assessment specialist makes other selections, best practices would take into consideration instrument selection issues such as:

- 1. the use of instruments which envelope the three to five year range by providing floors and ceilings well beyond this age range,
- 2. instruments whose standardization samples in the ages three to five are relatively large (or at least in direct proportion) to the other ages with which the test was standardized,
- an instrument whose standardization samples at the three to five age range included representative numbers of minority and socioeconomically disadvantaged children of this age range,
- 4. instruments which attempt to measure a broader range of skills, and in greater depth than a more cursory screening,
- 5. instruments whose standardization or normative data is relatively recent (preferably within the last ten years) and test development and standardization procedures are sound, and
- 6. instruments whose validity and reliability claims have been supported by independent research.

The list of assessments that follow are not comprehensive and do not necessarily reflect the most recently standardized instruments or tools for assessment of Developmental Delay. A more comprehensive list of assessment instruments can be found on the Special Education Assessment web page under the title of Assessments in Easy IEP on the Initial Eligibility tab at the following site:

http://state.tn.us/education/speced/seassessment.shtml#INITIAL

Multi-Domain Assessments

Test Authors Publication Date	Areas Measured	Age Range	Format	Scores Obtained	Unique Aspects	Examiners
Battelle Developmental Inventory Second Edition (BDI-II) Newburg, Stock, Wnek, Guidubaldi, & Svinicki. (1988)	 Personal-social Adaptive Motor Communication Cognitive Ability 	Birth—8.0 years	Observation Structured interaction Caregiver/teacher interview	PercentilesStandard scoresAge equivalents	Norms questionable (standardized 1988) Item pool limited Includes screening test	School psychologists Special education & early childhood teachers Speech-language pathologists Occupational & physical therapists
Bayley Scales of Infant Development (BSID-II) Bayley. (1995)	Mental Motor Behavior	1—42 months	Parental inquiry Structured interaction	Standard scores Age equivalents	Includes behavior rating scale Requires experience to administer	Graduate or professional training and experience
Detroit Tests of Learning Aptitude-Primary:2 Hammill & Bryant. (1993)	Cognitive AbilityAttentionLinguisticMotor	3.0—9:11 years	Picture identification Object identification Object manipulation Observation Drawing	Standard scorePercentileAge equivalent		Trained specialistsQualified professionals
Developmental Assessment of Young Children (DAYC). Voress & Maddox. (1998)	Cognition Communication Social-emotional development Physical development Adaptive behavior	Birth—5:11 years	Observation Parent/caregiver interview Direct assessment	Standard scores Percentile scores Age equivalents General development quotient	Subtests can be used independently for all domains	Qualified professionals
Learning Accomplishment Profile (LAP-D). Nehring, Nehring, Bruno, Randolph, Kaplon. (1992)	Fine and gross motor Cognitive Ability Language	30 months—6:0 years	Task analysis Elicited interaction	Standard scores Percentiles Age equivalents	Although it is normed, use CAUTION due to limited reliability and validity	Early childhood staff Paraprofessionals who have been trained by an experienced examiner
Mullen's Scales of Early Learning: AGS edition. Mullen (1995)	MotorCognitive AbilityVisual receptionLanguage	Birth—68 months	Object manipulation	T-scoresPercentile ranksAge equivalents	Composite score is NOT an intellectual ability score	 School psychologists Special education teachers Speech-language pathologists Occupational & physical therapists

Cognitive Assessments

Test Authors Publication Date	Areas Measured	Age Range	Format	Scores Obtained	Unique Aspects	Examiners
Differential Ability Scales (DAS) Elliott. (1990)	Cognitive ability	Preschool Level 2:6—5:11 years School Age Level 6:0—17:11 years	Preschool: Verbal Nonverbal School Age Verbal Nonverbal Spatial	Standard scores T-scores Cluster scores Percentiles General conceptual ability	Preschoolers with possible Delays in: Development Language Hearing Cognition	Psychologists
Kaufman Assessment Battery for Children (K- ABC). Kaufman & Kaufman. (1983).	Intelligence Achievement	2:6—12.6 years	Sequential processing Simultaneous processing	Scaled scoresPercentilesAge equivalents	Special profile interpretation for very high and very low functioning Norms questionable (standardized in 1983)	Psychologists
Leiter International Performance Scale. Leiter. (1997).	Intelligence	2 years—adult	Conceptual Manipulatives	Scaled scores	Non-verbal format	Psychologists
McCarthy's Scales of Children's Abilities	Intelligence	2:6—8:6 years	Manipulative items presented in sequential manner	T-scores	Norms questionable (standardized in 1972) Screener component	Psychologists
Stanford-Binet Intelligence Scale—5 th Edition.(Roid. (2003).	Cognitive ability	2:0 years—85+	Five Factors Fluid reasoning Knowledge Quantitative reasoning Visual-Spatial processing Working memory	Change-sensitive scores Scaled Scores Factor Scores Standard deviation of 15	5 factor scores measured in verbal and nonverbal domains Extensive low- and high-end items Improved design for assessment of preschoolers Nonverbal scale for low or no language students	Psychologists
Stanford-Binet Intelligence Scale—4 th Edition Thorndike, Hagen, Sattler. (1986).	Cognitive ability	2:0 yearsadult	Emphasis on cognitive development or intelligence as measured by language skills	Standard age scores (SAS) Composite score	Concerns about : Lack of variety in tasks High floor for preschoolers	Psychologists
Universal Nonverbal Intelligence Test (UNIT). Bracken & McCallum. (1998).	Intelligence	5:0—17:0 years	Batteries are: Abbreviated Standard Extended	Standard deviations Quotient scores	Totally non-verbal (Including directions)	Psychologists

Test Authors Publication Date	Areas Measured	Age Range	Format	Scores Obtained	Unique Aspects	Examiners
Wechsler Preschool and Primary Scale of Intelligence—Revised (WPPSI). Wechsler. (1989)	Intellectual ability	3:0—7:5 years	Verbal ability Performance ability Full-scale ability	Scaled scores Full-scale IQ	Downward extension of WISC- III/R (Overlap at ages 6:0-7:0) Good for measurement of mental retardation	Psychologists
Wechsler Intelligence Scales for Children (WISC—IV). Wechsler. (2003)	Intellectual ability	6:0—16:II years	Verbal comprehension ability Perceptual reasoning ability Working memory Processing speed Full-scale ability	Scaled scoresFull-scale IQ	 Improved reliability and validity Improved floors and ceilings on all tests Culturally fair Spanish translation (available in 2004) 	Psychologists
Wechsler Intelligence Scales for Children (WISC—III). Wechsler. (1991)	Intellectual ability	6:0—16:II years	Verbal ability Performance ability Full-scale ability	Scaled scores Full-scale IQ	Spanish translation	Psychologists

Language Assessments

(Page 1)

Test Authors Publication Date	Areas Measured	Age Range	Format	Scores Obtained	Unique Aspects	Examiners
Carrow Elicited Language Inventory Carrow. (1974)	Grammatical structures Syntax	3:0—7:11 years	Elicited imitation	Percentiles Standard scores		Speech and Language Pathologists
Clinical Evaluation of Language Fundamentals— Preschool (CELF- Preschool). Wiig, Secord, Sette. (1992)	Expressive language Receptive language	3:0—6:00 years	Picture identification Sentence comprehension Grammatical completion Q & A Recall of sentences Linguistic concepts	Standard scores Percentiles Age equivalent scores Receptive scores Expressive scores Total score	Comprehensive language battery	Speech and Language Pathologists
Expressive One-Word Picture Vocabulary Test 2000 Edition. Brownell. (2000)	Speaking vocabulary	2:0—18:11 years	Color drawings	Percentiles Age equivalents Standard scores	Bilingual edition	No specific qualifications
Kaufman Survey of Early Academic and Language Skills. Kaufman & Kaufman. (1993)	 Receptive vocabulary Expressive vocabulary Number, letter, & word concepts Articulation survey 	3:0—6:11 years		Standard scoresPercentilesAge equivalents		Speech and Language Pathologists
OWLS Listenng Comprehension and Oral Expression. AGS. Carrow-Woolfolk. (1995).	Expressive language Receptive language	3:0—21:0 years	Listening comprehensionOral expression	Standard scores Percentiles Stanines		Speech and Language Pathologists
Peabody Picture Vocabulary Test—Third Edition (PPVT-3). Dunn. (1998)	Receptive vocabulary	2:6 years—adult		Percentiles Age equivalents Standard scores Stanines	Two parallel forms	Graduate training in assessment
Preschool Language Scales—3. Zimmerman, Steiner & Pond. (1992)	Receptive language skills Expressive language skills	Birth—6:11 years	Response to pictures Object manipulation Following directions	Total language standard scores Percentiles Age equivalents	Spanish language version	Experience in administration and interpretation

Language Assessments

(Page 2)

Test Authors Publication Date	Areas Measured	Age Range	Format	Scores Obtained	Unique Aspects	Examiners
Receptive One-Word Picture Vocabulary Test—2000. Brownell. (2000)	Hearing vocabulary	2:00—18:11 years	Color drawings	Standard scores Percentile ranks Age equivalents	Spanish—bilingual edition	Speech and Language Pathologists
Reynell Developmental Language Scales. Reynell & Gruber. (1969).	Verbal comprehension Expressive language	1:0—6:0 years	Observation Picture and object identification Object manipulation	Communication age equivalent Standard scores Percentiles Developmental age	Verbal comprehension version for children using pointing only	Speech and Language Pathologists
Sequenced Inventory of Communication Development—Revised (SICD-R). Hendrick, Prather & Tobie. (1984).	Receptive skills Expressive skills Communication	0:4—4:00 years	Parent report Object manipulation Pictures Language sample Articulation	Age equivalents Mean scores by age Standard deviations	Highly motivating The two subtests can stand alone	Speech and Language Pathologists
Tests of Early Language Development—3 (TELD- 3). Hresko, Reid & Hammil. (1997)	Broad language Semantics Syntax Morphology	2:0—7:11 years	 Picture identification Answering questions Object manipulation Imitation Sentence Completion Other language areas 	 Percentiles Language Language quotient Standard scores Age equivalents 		Speech and Language Pathologists

MOTOR ASSESSMENTS

Test Authors Publication Date	Areas Measured	Age Range	Format	Scores Obtained	Unique Aspects	Examiners
Bruininks-Oseretsky Test of Motor Proficiency. Bruininks & Osertsky. (1978)	Fine motor development Gross motor development	4:6—14:6 years	Speed and agility Balance Bilateral coordination Strength Upper-limb coordination Response speed Visual-motor skills Dexterity	Percentile ranks Standard scores Stanines Age equivalents	Short form screening test Complete battery	 Physical education teachers Special education teachers Occupational therapists Other trained professionals
Movement Assessment Battery for Children. Psychological Corporation. (1998)	Motor skills	4:0—12:0 years	Screening Assessment Management	Percentiles by age group	Provides screening and management, in addition to percentile scores for assessment One checklist form and 4 forms by age level Based on Test of Motor Impairment (TOMI)	Qualified professionals
Peabody Motor Developmental Scales— Second Edition. Folio, Fewell & Riverside. (1983)	Fine motor skills Gross motor skills	Birth—6:11 years		Percentiles Age equivalent scores Developmental quotient		Qualified professionals

Social-Emotional and Behavioral Assessments

Test Authors Publication Date	Areas Measured	Age Range	Format	Scores Obtained	Unique Aspects	Examiners
AGS Social Skills Rating System. Gresham & Elliot. (1990).	Problem behavior Social skills Interpersonal skills	3.0—18:0 years	Student rating form Parent rating form Teacher rating form Self-report	Total scaled scores converted to standard scores and percentiles	Three levels: Preschool Elementary Secondary	Trained professionals
Behavior Assessment System for Children (BASC). Reynolds & Kamphaus. (1992).	Behavior Emotions	4:0—18:0 years	 Parent rating scales Teacher rating scales In 3 areas: Clinical Adaptive Validity 	Scaled scores Standard scores	Separate form for 4 S year old children Spanish version	Psychologists
Child Behavior Checklist (CBCL). Auchenbach & Edelbrock. (1991).	Behavioral problems Emotional problems	2:0—18:0 years	Parent rating scale Teacher rating scale	T-scores for boys and girls by age	Behavioral data: Internalizing behaviors Externalizing behaviors Separate scale for children ages 2:0— 3:0	Trained professionals
Scale for Assessing Emotional Disturbance (SAED). Epstein & Cullinan. (1998))	Five (5) subscales measuring federal definition for emotional disturbance	5:00—18:0 years	Rating scales Open-ended questions	Percentile ranksStandard scores	Can be used as screener for emotional disturbance or in Developmental Delay domain	TeachersCliniciansParents
Social Competence and Behavior Evaluation (SCBE)—Preschool Edition. LaFrenier & Dumas. (1995)	Within classroom setting: Behavioral problems Emotional problems	2:6—6:4 years	Teacher completed questionnaire		Addresses overall adjustment and social interactions with peers	Preschool teachers Early childhood special educators
Vineland Social- Emotional Early Childhood Scales (Vineland SEEC). Sparrow, Balla, Cicchetti. (1998).	Three scales: Interpersonal relationships Play and leisure time Coping skills	Birth—5:11 years	Interview with parent or caregiver	Standard scores Percentile ranks Stanines Age equivalents Social-emotional composite	Used in conjunction with Mullen's Scale of Early Learning for complete developmental evaluation	Trained professionals

Adaptive Assessments

Test Authors Publication Date	Areas Measured	Age Range	Format	Scores Obtained	Unique Aspects	Examiners
AAMR Adaptive Behavior Scales— School Edition, Second Edition (ABS—S:2). Lambert, Leland & Nihira. (1992).	Adaptive behaviors Maladaptive behaviors	3.0—16:0 years	Assesses nine (9) domains including: Personal independence Living skills Respondents: Parent Teacher	Standard scores Percentiles		Special educators Psychologists
Scales of Independent Behavior—Revised (SIB- R). Bruininks, Woodcock, Weatherman, & Hill. (1996).	Adaptive behavior	Infancy—80.0+ years	Fourteen (14) behavior scales in 4 clusters: Motor skills Social interaction skills Personal living skills Community living skills	Percentile ranks Standard scores Age equivalents	 Full scale and screener forms Spanish version 	Trained professionals
Vineland Adaptive Behavior Scales. Sparrow, Balla, & Chiochette. (1985).	Adaptive behavior in four (4) domains: Motor skills Communication skills Socialization skills Daily living skills	Interview, Survey, & Expanded Form Birth—18:11 years 3—12:11 years	Semi-structured interview with parent or caregiver Classroom questionnaire	Standard scores Percentile ranks Age equivalents Adaptive behavior composite		Qualified professionals
Vineland Social- Emotional Early Childhood Scales. Sparrow, Balla, & Chiccetti. (1998).	Social skills Emotional skills	Birth—5:11 years		Standard scores Percentile ranks Stanines Age equivalents	Can be used in conjunction with the Mullen Scales	Qualified professionals

CRITERION-REFERENCED ASSESSMENTS

(To Aide in Development of the Individual Education Program—IEP)

(Page 1)

Test Authors Publication Date	Areas Measured	Age Range	Format	Scores Obtained	Unique Aspects	Examiners
Assessment, Evaluation, and Programming System for Infants and Children (AEPS). Bricker, Bricker & Pretti- Frontczak. (1993)	Test includes: Cognitive skills Adaptive skills Social- communication skills Social skills Fine motor skills Gross motor skills	Volume 1: 1 month—3 years Volume 2: 3:0—6:0 years	Teachers report on, observe, or test items Parent reports Interest surveys	Criterion- referenced	Corresponding Curriculum	Teachers
Brigance Inventory of Early Development- Revised. Brigance. (1991)	Preambulatory motor, fine, and gross motor Self-help skills Speech and language development Academic readiness Comprehension Academic skills in mathematics, reading, and writing Social-emotional development	Birth—7:0 years	Teachers observe or test items	Criterion- referenced		Special educators Other trained professionals
Carolina Curriculum for Preschoolers with Special Needs. Johnson- Martin, Attermeir & Hacker. (1991)	Cognition Communication Social Adaptive Motor	2:0—5:0 years	Observation-based checklist	Criterion- referenced	Links assessment information with interventions and activities	Trained professionals
Developmental Assessment for Students with Severe Disabilities—Second Edition (DASH-2). Dykes & Erin. (1999)	Social-emotional Language Sensory-motor Daily living activities Basic academic skills	Birth—6:11 years	Parent interview	Basal and ceiling levels establish developmental age based on criterion	Allows 2-3 hours to administer	Special EducatorsPsychologists
Early Intervention Development Profile. Rogers, Donovan, D'Eugenio, Brown, Lynch, Moersch, & Schafer). (1981)	Perceptual/fine motor Cognition Language Socio-emotional Self-care Gross motor	Birth—36 months		Checklist	Corresponding application and activities included	Trained professionals

Criterion-Referenced Assessments

(Page 2)

Test Authors Publication Date	Areas Measured	Age Range	Format	Scores Obtained	Unique Aspects	Examiners
Hawaii Early Learning Profile (HELP). Furumo, O'Reilly, Hosaka, Inatsuka, Allman, & Zeisloft. (1994)	Cognitive Language Motor Social-emotional Adaptive	Birth—36 months	Checklists Family interview	Criterion- referenced	Home activity guide Sequential concept strands	Experienced specialists
HELP for Special Preschoolers. Santa Cruz County Office of Education. (1987)	Cognitive Language Motor Social-emotional	3:0—6:0 years	Observations Parent interview Direct administration	Skills are not arranged in hierarchical order	Continuation of the Hawaii Early Learning Profile	Experienced specialists
INSITE Developmental Checklist . Morgan. (1989)	Communication Cognition Audition Vision Motor Self-help Social-emotional and interaction	Birth—6:0 years	Checklist	Items assigned in age ranges		Experienced specialists
Pediatric Evaluation of Disability Inventory (PEDI). Haley, Coster, Ludlow, Haltiwanger & Andrellos. (1992).	Self-care Mobility Social function	6 months—7:6 years	Criterion-reference Domains	Standard scores Scaled performance scores	Provides descriptive measure of function with a variety of disabilities Requires additional assessment instruments for Developmental Delay	Psychologists Early childhood specialists/teachers with knowledge of testing and measurements

Assessments Related to Specific Disabilities

Test Authors Publication Date	Areas Measured	Age Range	Format	Scores Obtained	Unique Aspects	Examiners
Childhood Autism Rating Scale (CARS). Schopler, Reichier, & Renner. (1988)	Behaviors related to autism	2:0—adult	Professional seven (7) point rating scale	Continuous from within normal limits to severe	Addresses fifteen (15) behavioral areas associated with autism	Special educators Psychologists
Connors Rating Scales—Revised. Conners. (1996)	Problem behaviors	3:0—17:11 years	Parent rating scalesTeacher rating scales	T-scoresStandard deviations	Short versionLong version	Special educators Psychologists
Early Childhood Attention Deficit Disorders Evaluation Scale (ECADDS). McCarney. (1995)	Behaviors associated with attention deficit disorder	24—72 months	Home version School version Both document behaviors and frequency	Standard scores can be totaled and converted to total percentile score		Special educators Psychologists
Gilliam Autism Rating Scale (GARS). Gilliam. (1995)	Behaviors associated with autism	3:0—22:0 years	Three core subtests	Standard scores Percentiles	Parent interview component	TeachersParentsProfessionals

Child Observation Instruments

Test Authors Publication Date	Areas Measured	Age Range	Format	Scores Obtained	Unique Aspects	Examiners
Developmental Observation Checklist (DOCS). Hresko, Miguel, Sherbenou & Burton. (1994)	LanguageMotorSocialCognition	Birth—6:0 years	Three part inventory and checklist system with respect to: General development Adjustment behavior Parent stress and support	Quotients NCE scores Age equivalents Percentiles	Parents receive questionnaire	Psychologists
High Scope Observation Record. High Scope Foundation. 600 North River Street, Ypsilanli, Michigan 48197.	All Developmental Areas	2:6—6:0 years	Structured observations	Not Applicable	Specific classroom activities	All disciplines familiar with early childhood
Transdisciplinary Play- Based Assessment Toni W. Linder, Paul H. Brookes	All Developmental Areas	Birth—6:0 years	Observation guidelines for cognitive, social, emotional, communicative- language, and sensorimotor skills	Not Applicable	Excellent source for comprehensive development information	All disciplines familiar with early childhood

Family Report Instruments

Test Authors Publication Date	Areas Measured	Age Range	Format	Scores Obtained	Unique Aspects	Examiners
Ages & Stages Questionnaire:: A Parent Completed Child Monitoring System. Bucher, Squires, Mounts, Potter, Nichel & Farrell. (1995). Paul H Brooks, P. O. Box 10624, Baltimore, Maryland, 21285-0624	 Questions are in 5 areas: 1. Communication 2. Gross motor 3. Fine motor 4. Problem solving 5. Personal-Social Questions are hierarchical in order with 'yes', 'no', and 'sometimes' answers 	460 months	Series of parent-completed questionnaires used to: assist with child find efforts screen children for possible Developmental Delay focus and structure home visits educate parents on child development empower parents	 Items in the 75-100 developmental quotient range 2 Standard Deviations below the Mean is used for questionnaires at ages: 4, 8, 12, 16, 20, 24, 30, 36, 48 & 60. A 75 Developmental Quotient is the cutoff for questionnaires at 6, 10, 14, 18, 22, 27, 33, 42, & 54 months. 	 Interview format. Available in English, Spanish and French. Written at 4th-5th grade reading level. 	All disciplines familiar with early childhood
Achenbach Child Behavior Checklist.	See Socio-Emotional Behavior Instruments—Child Behavior Checklist	See Socio-Emotional & Behavior Instruments— Child Behavior Checklist	See Socio- Emotional & Behavior Instruments—Child Behavior Checklist	See Socio-Emotional Behavior Instruments—Child Behavior Checklist	See Socio- Emotional & Behavior Instruments—Child Behavior Checklist	See Socio-Emotional & Behavior Instruments—Child Behavior Checklist
Assessment Evaluation and Programming System for Infants and Children.	See Criterion- referenced Assessments	See Criterion- referenced Assessments	See Criterion- referenced Assessments	See Criterion- referenced Assessments	See Criterion- referenced Assessments	See Criterion-referenced Assessments
Batelle Developmental Inventory (BDI). Newberg, Stock, Waek, Guidubalde & Sunicki.	See Multi-domain Assessments	See Multi-domain Assessments	See Multi-domain Assessments	See Multi-domain Assessments	See Multi-domain Assessments	See Multi-domain Assessments
Child Development Inventory. Ireton, Harold, Behavior Science Systems, Box 580274, Minneapolis, Minnesota 55458	 Social Self-help Gross and fine motor Language Letters and numbers 	1:0—6:3 years	Yes/No questions	Developmental age profile		Family members
Hawaii Early Learning Profile for Special Preschools.	See Criterion- referenced Assessments	See Criterion- referenced Assessments	See Criterion- referenced Assessments	See Criterion- referenced Assessments	See Criterion- referenced Assessments	See Criterion-referenced Assessments
Sequenced Inventory of Communicative Development.	See Language Assessments	See Language Assessments	See Language Assessments	See Language Assessments	See Language Assessments	See Language Assessments
Vineland Adaptive Behavior Scales.	See Adaptive Assessments	See Adaptive Assessments	See Adaptive Assessments	See Adaptive Assessments	See Adaptive Assessments	See Adaptive Assessments